arXiv:1204.2042v1 [math.RA] 10 Apr 2012

DEFORMATIONS OF QUANTUM SYMMETRIC ALGEBRAS EXTENDED BY GROUPS

JEANETTE SHAKALLI

Abstract. We discuss a general construction of a deformation of a smash product algebra coming from an action of a particular Hopf algebra. This Hopf algebra is generated by skew-primitive and group-like elements, and depends on a complex parameter. The smash product algebra is defined on the quantum symmetric algebra of a finite-dimensional vector space and a group. In particular, an application of this result has enabled us to find a deformation of such a smash product algebra which is, to the best of our knowledge, the first known example of a deformation in which the new relations in the deformed algebra involve elements of the original vector space. Using Hochschild cohomology, we show that the resulting deformations are nontrivial by giving the precise characterization of the infinitesimal.

1. Introduction

A deformation of an algebra is obtained by slightly modifying its multiplicative structure. Deformations arise in many areas of mathematics, such as combinatorics [3], representation theory [4], and orbifold theory [5]. From this assertion, it is clear that the study of deformations of an algebra is of utmost importance. The main interest in this work is to study deformations arising from an action of a Hopf algebra.

According to Giaquinto's survey paper [10], the works of Fröhlicher and Nijenhuis [6], and Kodaira and Spencer [13] on deformations of complex manifolds set the basic foundations of the modern theory of deformations. Gerstenhaber's seminal paper [8] marked the beginning of algebraic deformation theory. Although the origins of deformations lie in analytic theory, the algebraic setting provides a much more general framework.

In general, as described in [8], finding all possible deformations of an algebra is quite a challenging task. For a certain kind of Hopf algebra, Witherspoon [20] has found an explicit formula that yields a deformation of its Hopf module algebras. Applications of this formula have been studied in [12] and [20] for the case of the smash product algebra of the symmetric algebra of a vector space with a group. The purpose of this work is to extend these results to the case of the smash product algebra of the *quantum* symmetric algebra of a vector space with a group, thus increasing the number of known examples of deformations.

To place this work in context, let us briefly describe what is known about deformations. First, let us introduce some notation. In this work, the set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ includes 0. By $\mathbf{k}$, we will denote a field of characteristic 0. Unless stated otherwise, by $\otimes$ we mean $\otimes_{\mathbf{k}}$. Let V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space with basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ and $T(V)$ the tensor algebra of V. Let $q_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}$ for which $q_{ii} = 1$

Date: March 2, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S40, 16T05, 16S35, 16E40.

Key words and phrases. algebraic deformation theory; quantum symmetric algebras; Hopf module algebras; smash product algebras; universal deformation formulas; Hochschild cohomology; Hopf algebras.

and $q_{ji} = q_{ij}^{-1}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. Set $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})$. The quantum symmetric algebra $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ of V is defined as

$$S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) = T(V) \;/\; (w_i w_j - q_{ij} w_j w_i \mid 1 \le i, j \le k),$$

where the element $w_i \otimes w_j$ is abbreviated as $w_i w_j$. If $q_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j, then we obtain the symmetric algebra $S(V)$. Let G be a group acting linearly on V. If the action of G on V extends to all of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$, then the smash product algebra $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \# G$ is obtained by using $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \otimes \mathbf{k}G$ as a vector space but with a new multiplication given by

$$(a \# g)(b \# h) = a\ g(b) \ \#\ gh \qquad \text{for all } a, b \in S_{\mathbf{q}}(V),\ g, h \in G.$$

For further details on this construction, see [15].

Graded Hecke algebras, also known as Drinfeld Hecke algebras [4], can be viewed as deformations of $S(V) \# G$ of type

$$(T(V) \# G) \;/\; (w_i w_j - w_j w_i - \sum_{g \in G} a_g(w_i, w_j)\ g), \qquad \text{where } a_g(w_i, w_j) \in \mathbf{k}. \tag{1.1}$$

Symplectic reflection algebras [5] and rational Cherednik algebras [3] are special cases of Drinfeld Hecke algebras. Analogously, braided Cherednik algebras [1] are deformations of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \# G$ of type

$$(T(V) \# G) \;/\; (w_i w_j - q_{ij} w_j w_i - \sum_{g \in G} a_g(w_i, w_j)\ g), \qquad \text{where } a_g(w_i, w_j) \in \mathbf{k}. \tag{1.2}$$

For other types of deformations of $S(V) \# G$ and $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \# G$, not as much is known, and from what is known, not all the resulting algebras are necessarily defined by generators and relations. In some cases, these algebras are obtained via a universal deformation formula, originally introduced by Giaquinto and Zhang in [11], coming from a Hopf algebra action.

In his fundamental work [8], Gerstenhaber showed that two commuting derivations on an algebra A lead to a deformation of A. Giaquinto and Zhang generalized this idea in [11] with their theory of universal deformation formulas. The question that naturally arises is what about skew derivations. The answer is that sometimes skew derivations do lead to a deformation via a Hopf algebra action, as shown in [12] and [20] for the case of $S(V) \# G$. The work presented here generalizes these results to the quantum version, that is to the case of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \# G$. This is particularly relevant since explicit examples of deformations of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \# G$ have proven to be difficult to find. Moreover, it turns out that some of the deformations constructed in this work are not graded in the sense of Braverman and Gaitsgory [2] (see Remark 3.10). We are confident that this newly found set of examples will be helpful in the quest to understand deformations more generally.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review the basics of algebraic deformation theory and define some concepts that we will need. In Section 3, we construct some original examples of deformations. In Section 4, we build a general theory that encompasses the examples found in Section 3 as a special case. In Section 5, using Hochschild cohomology, we prove that the deformations obtained in Section 4 are nontrivial.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we define a deformation of an algebra and briefly discuss the difficulties that arise when trying to find all possible deformations of a given algebra. We end this section by introducing universal deformation formulas. The basics of algebraic deformation theory can be found in [8], [9] and [10].

Definition 2.1. Let t be an indeterminate. A *formal deformation* of a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra A is an associative algebra $A[[t]]$ over the formal power series ring $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ with multiplication

$$a * b = ab + \mu_1(a \otimes b)\, t + \mu_2(a \otimes b)\, t^2 + \dots$$

for all $a, b \in A$, where ab denotes the multiplication in A and the maps $\mu_i : A \otimes A \to A$ are $\mathbf{k}$-linear extended to be $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$-linear.

Given an algebra A, a natural question that arises is to determine all possible deformations of A. By Definition 2.1, we may restate this problem as follows: Find all sequences $\{\mu_i\}$ such that the corresponding map $*$ is associative on $A[[t]]$. This associativity condition allows us to match coefficients of t^n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which in turn gives conditions that the maps μ_i must satisfy. It is well-known that the obstructions to the existence of the maps μ_i are Hochschild 3-coboundaries (see, for instance, [8]), which motivates a recursive procedure to find the maps μ_i. Such a procedure is a very difficult process, if at all possible. In fact, this is a potentially infinite process. For this reason, many authors, such as Guccione et al. [12] and Witherspoon [20], concentrate their efforts in the study of deformations of an algebra coming from an action of a Hopf algebra.

Giaquinto and Zhang [11] developed the theory of universal deformation formulas. Here we define a universal deformation formula based on a bialgebra. In what follows, by Δ and ε we denote the comultiplication and the counit of the bialgebra.

Definition 2.2. A *universal deformation formula* based on a bialgebra B is an element $F \in (B \otimes B)[[t]]$ of the form

$$F = 1_B \otimes 1_B + t\, F_1 + t^2\, F_2 + \dots$$

where $F_i \in B \otimes B$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying the following three conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}_B)\,(F) &= 1 \otimes 1_B,\\ (\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \varepsilon)\,(F) &= 1_B \otimes 1,\\ [\,(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_B)\,(F)\,]\,(F \otimes 1_B) &= [\,(\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \Delta)\,(F)\,]\,(1_B \otimes F).\end{aligned}$$

Such a formula is universal in the sense that it applies to any B-module algebra A to yield a deformation of A (see Theorem 1.3 in [11] for a detailed proof). In particular, $m \circ F$ is the multiplication in the deformed algebra of A, where m denotes the multiplication in A.

Since it will be needed in what follows, let us recall the Hopf algebra H_q introduced in [20]. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let H be the algebra generated by D_1, D_2, σ and σ^{-1} subject to the relations

$$D_1D_2 = D_2D_1, \quad q\sigma D_i = D_i\sigma \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \quad \sigma\sigma^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}\sigma = 1.$$

Then H is a Hopf algebra with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(D_1) &= D_1 \otimes \sigma + 1 \otimes D_1, & \varepsilon(D_1) &= 0, & S(D_1) &= -D_1\sigma^{-1},\\ \Delta(D_2) &= D_2 \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes D_2, & \varepsilon(D_2) &= 0, & S(D_2) &= -\sigma^{-1}D_2,\\ \Delta(\sigma) &= \sigma \otimes \sigma, & \varepsilon(\sigma) &= 1, & S(\sigma) &= \sigma^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where S denotes the antipode of H. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 2$. Let I be the ideal of H generated by D_1^n and D_2^n. If q is a primitive nth root of unity, then I is a Hopf ideal. Thus, the quotient H/I is also a Hopf algebra. Define

$$H_q = \begin{cases} H/I, & \text{if } q \text{ is a primitive } n\text{th root of unity,}\\ H, & \text{if } q = 1 \text{ or } q \text{ is not a root of unity.}\end{cases}$$

Witherspoon proved in [20] that

$$\exp_q(t\ D_1 \otimes D_2) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(i)_q!}\ (tD_1 \otimes D_2)^i, & \text{if } q \text{ is a primitive } n\text{th root of unity,} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i)_q!}\ (tD_1 \otimes D_2)^i, & \text{if } q = 1 \text{ or } q \text{ is not a root of unity,} \end{cases}$$

is a universal deformation formula based on H_q, where $(i)_q$ denotes the quantum integer and $(i)_q!$ the quantum factorial. Therefore, for every H_q-module algebra A,

$$m \circ \exp_q(t\ D_1 \otimes D_2) \tag{2.3}$$

yields a formal deformation of A, where m denotes the multiplication in A. By an H_q-module algebra A, we mean an algebra that is also an H_q-module, for which the two structures are compatible, that is

$$h(ab) = \sum h_1(a)\ h_2(b) \quad \text{and} \quad h(1_A) = \varepsilon(h)\ 1_A \quad \text{for all } h \in H_q,\ a, b \in A, \tag{2.4}$$

where the sigma notation

$$\Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2\ \in\ H_q \otimes H_q \quad \text{for } h \in H_q$$

is as in [15].

We end this section with the following notation which we will use in Section 5. Denote by V^* the dual vector space of V and by $\{w_1^*, \ldots, w_k^*\}$ the corresponding dual basis, i.e. $w_i^*(w_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker symbol. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$, the length $|\alpha|$ of α is defined as

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we define $w^\alpha = w_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots w_k^{\alpha_k}$. Finally, whenever A is a set and G a group acting on A, we will denote by A^G the elements of A that are invariant under this action.

Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})$ be as in Section 1. The quantum exterior algebra $\bigwedge_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ of V is defined as

$$\bigwedge\nolimits_{\mathbf{q}}(V) = T(V)\ /\ (w_i w_j + q_{ij} w_j w_i \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq k).$$

If $q_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j, then we obtain the exterior algebra $\bigwedge(V)$. Denote the multiplication in $\bigwedge_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ by $\wedge$. For any $\beta \in \{0,1\}^k$, let $w^{\wedge\beta}$ denote the vector $w_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{j_m} \in \bigwedge^m(V)$, which is defined by $m = |\beta|$, $\beta_{j_\ell} = 1$ for all $\ell = 1, \ldots, m$, and $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_m \leq k$.

3. A Motivational Example

The example described in this section is, to the best of our knowledge, the first known example of a deformation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ in which the new relations in the deformed algebra involve not only group elements and the indeterminate, but also elements of V (compare to (1.1) and (1.2)). We also present a generalization of this motivational example, which in turn generates numerous new examples of deformations of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$.

Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\dim(V) = 3$. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive nth root of unity for $n \geq 2$. Define $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ by setting $q_{12} = q_{13} = q$ and $q_{23} = 1$. Let

$$G = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1^n = \sigma_2^n = 1,\ \sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_2\sigma_1 \rangle$$

be a group acting on $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ via

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_1(w_1) = qw_1, && \sigma_1(w_2) = w_2, && \sigma_1(w_3) = qw_3, \\ &\sigma_2(w_1) = w_1, && \sigma_2(w_2) = qw_2, && \sigma_2(w_3) = qw_3. \end{aligned}$$

Define the functions $\chi_i : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$g(w_i) = \chi_i(g)\, w_i \quad \text{for all } g \in G,\ i = 1,2,3.$$

In particular, $\chi_1(\sigma_1) = q$ and $\chi_1(\sigma_2) = 1$. Define an action of H_q on the generators of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ and on G by

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(w_1) &= \sigma_2, & D_2(w_1) &= 0, & \sigma(w_1) &= q\, w_1,\\
D_1(w_2) &= 0, & D_2(w_2) &= w_3\, \sigma_1\, \sigma_2^{-1}, & \sigma(w_2) &= w_2,\\
D_1(w_3) &= 0, & D_2(w_3) &= 0, & \sigma(w_3) &= w_3,\\
D_1(g) &= 0, & D_2(g) &= 0, & \sigma(g) &= \chi_1(g^{-1})\, g.
\end{aligned}$$

Then extend this action of H_q to all of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ under the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(ab) &= D_1(a)\, \sigma(b) + a\, D_1(b),\\
D_2(ab) &= D_2(a)\, b + \sigma(a)\, D_2(b),\\
\sigma(ab) &= \sigma(a)\, \sigma(b),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$.

Remark 3.1. The extension conditions follow from the definition of the coproduct in H_q. This is done so as to obtain an H_q-module algebra, which is shown to be true in the upcoming discussion.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\{w_1^i\ w_2^j\ w_3^m\ g \mid i, j, m \in \mathbb{N},\ g \in G\}$ denote a basis of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$. Then, assuming it is well-defined, the extension of the action of H_q on $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ and G to all of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ is given by the following formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(w_1^i\ w_2^j\ w_3^m\ g) &= (i)_q\, q^{j+m}\, \chi_1(g^{-1})\, w_1^{i-1}\, w_2^j\, w_3^m\, \sigma_2\, g,\\
D_2(w_1^i\ w_2^j\ w_3^m\ g) &= (j)_{q^{-1}}\, q^i\, w_1^i\, w_2^{j-1}\, w_3^{m+1}\, \sigma_1\, \sigma_2^{-1}\, g,\\
\sigma(w_1^i\ w_2^j\ w_3^m\ g) &= q^i\, \chi_1(g^{-1})\, w_1^i\, w_2^j\, w_3^m\, g,
\end{aligned}$$

where a negative exponent of w_ℓ $(\ell = 1,2,3)$ is interpreted to be 0. *In this setting, $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ is an H_q-module algebra.*

Proof. It is sufficient to check that the relations of H_q are preserved by the generators of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, and that the relations of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ are preserved by the generators of H_q. For the sake of brevity, we omit the details. ☐

Remark 3.3. By construction, σ is an automorphism of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, D_1 acts as a $\sigma, 1$-skew derivation and D_2 acts as a $1, \sigma$-skew derivation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.2, if we set, for instance, $q = -1$ (and hence $n = 2$), then by (2.3), we get that

$$m \circ \exp_q(tD_1 \otimes D_2) = m \circ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(i)_q!}\, (tD_1 \otimes D_2)^i \right) = m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} + tD_1 \otimes D_2) \tag{3.4}$$

yields a deformation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$.

Proposition 3.5. *If $q = -1$, then the deformation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ given by* (3.4) *is*

$$(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ (w_1w_2 + w_2w_1 + tw_3\sigma_1,\ w_1w_3 + w_3w_1,\ w_2w_3 - w_3w_2).$$

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the deformation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ obtained by defining the multiplication on $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G)[[t]]$ by (3.4). To find the new relations in the deformed algebra $\mathcal{D}$, consider, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 * w_2 &= (m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} + t\ D_1 \otimes D_2))\ (w_1 \otimes w_2) \\ &= m\ (w_1 \otimes w_2) + m\ (t\ (D_1 \otimes D_2)\ (w_1 \otimes w_2)) \\ &= w_1\ w_2 + m\ (t\ (D_1(w_1) \otimes D_2(w_2))) \\ &= w_1\ w_2 + m\ (t\ (\sigma_2 \otimes w_3\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_2^{-1})) \\ &= w_1\ w_2 + t\ \sigma_2\ w_3\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_2^{-1} \\ &= w_1\ w_2 - t\ w_3\ \sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 * w_1 &= (m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} + t\ D_1 \otimes D_2))\ (w_2 \otimes w_1) \\ &= m\ (w_2 \otimes w_1) + m\ (t\ (D_1 \otimes D_2)\ (w_2 \otimes w_1)) \\ &= w_2\ w_1 + m\ (t\ (D_1(w_2) \otimes D_2(w_1))) \\ &= w_2\ w_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$w_1 * w_2 + w_2 * w_1 = w_1\ w_2 + w_2\ w_1 - t\ w_3\ \sigma_1 = -t\ w_3\ \sigma_1,$$

since $w_1 w_2 = -w_2 w_1$ in the original algebra. Dropping the $*$ notation, we get that the new relation in $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$w_1 w_2 + w_2 w_1 = -t w_3 \sigma_1,$$

as desired. Similar calculations show that in the deformed algebra $\mathcal{D}$, the following relations also hold:

$$w_1 w_3 = -w_3 w_1 \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 w_3 = w_3 w_2.$$

Define an algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : (T(V)\#G)[[t]] &\to \mathcal{D} \\ w_i &\mapsto w_i \\ g &\mapsto g. \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the map φ is surjective since w_i and g are in the image of φ and these elements generate $\mathcal{D}$ by an inductive argument. To see this, recall that by definition, $\mathcal{D}$ is $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G)[[t]]$ as a vector space. As a free $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$-module, $\mathcal{D}$ has a free generating set $\{w_1^{\alpha}\ w_2^{\beta}\ w_3^{\gamma}\ g \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is possible to show by induction on the degree $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$ of $w_1^{\alpha}\ w_2^{\beta}\ w_3^{\gamma}\ g$ that this element is in the image of φ.

Let I denote the ideal of $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]$ generated by the relations

$$w_1 w_2 + w_2 w_1 + t w_3 \sigma_1, \quad w_1 w_3 + w_3 w_1, \quad w_2 w_3 - w_3 w_2.$$

The calculations presented above show that $I \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$. Note that $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ I$ is a filtered algebra, due to the nature of the elements of I.

By an induction argument on the degree, it is possible to show that the elements of the form $w_1^{\alpha}\ w_2^{\beta}\ w_3^{\gamma}\ g$, where α, β and γ are nonnegative integers, span $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ I$ as a free $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$-module. Thus, the dimension of the associated graded algebra of $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ I$ in each degree

n is at most the number of elements of the form $w_1^{\alpha}\ w_2^{\beta}\ w_3^{\gamma}\ g$ with $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=n$. On the other hand, since $I \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$, the map

$$(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ I \ \longrightarrow\ (T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ \ker(\varphi)$$

is surjective. Thus, the dimension of the associated graded algebra of $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ I$ in each degree n is at least the dimension of the associated graded algebra of $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ \ker(\varphi)$ in degree n. Since $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ \ker(\varphi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}$ and we know that the elements of the form $w_1^{\alpha}\ w_2^{\beta}\ w_3^{\gamma}\ g$ form a basis of $\mathcal{D}$, it follows that the deformation is precisely $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ I$. □

As advertised, the vector space element w_3 appears in the new relations multiplied by the indeterminate t and the group element σ_1. Thus, restricting to the polynomial algebra $(T(V)\#G)[t]$ and specializing to $t=1$, this deformation involves relations of type

$$w_iw_j - q_{ij}w_jw_i - \sum_{g\in G} w_m\ a_g(w_i,w_j)\ g \qquad \text{for some } m.$$

Notice that these relations differ from those used to define the braided Cherednik algebras (1.2) by the presence of the vector space element w_m.

Remark 3.6. The arguments presented in the proof of Proposition 3.5 can be generalized to any primitive nth root of unity q for $n \geq 2$. For example, set $n=3$. Then by (2.3), we get that

$$m \circ \exp_q(t\ D_1 \otimes D_2) = m \circ \left(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id} + t\ D_1\otimes D_2 + \frac{1}{1+q}\ (t^2\ D_1^2 \otimes D_2^2)\right) \tag{3.7}$$

yields a deformation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$. In this case, the deformation can be found to be

$$(T(V)\#G)[[t]]\ /\ (w_1w_2+w_2w_1-qtw_3\sigma_1,\ w_1w_3+w_3w_1,\ w_2w_3-w_3w_2). \tag{3.8}$$

To see this, it is sufficient to notice that $D_i^2(w_j)=0$ for $i,j=1,2$.

Remark 3.9. Notice that the deformation (3.8) of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ is a graded deformation in the sense of Braverman and Gaitsgory [2]. To see this, we assign degree 1 to the indeterminate t and to the vector space elements w_i, and degree 0 to the group elements g. In this way, each of the relations obtained are homogeneous of degree 2. Therefore, the quotient is graded.

Remark 3.10. This example can be generalized to higher dimensions as follows: Let q be a primitive nth root of unity $(n \geq 2)$ and let $\dim(V)=k\geq 3$. Set $q_{1j}=q$ for $j=2,\ldots,k$, and $q_{ij}=1$ for $i,j=2,\ldots,k$. Let $G=\langle \sigma_1,\sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1^n=\sigma_2^n=1,\ \sigma_1\sigma_2=\sigma_2\sigma_1\rangle$ be a group acting on $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ via

$$\sigma_1(w_1)=qw_1,\quad \sigma_1(w_2)=w_2,\quad \sigma_1(w_3)=qw_3,\quad \cdots\quad \sigma_1(w_k)=qw_k,$$

$$\sigma_2(w_1)=w_1,\quad \sigma_2(w_2)=qw_2,\quad \sigma_2(w_3)=qw_3,\quad \cdots\quad \sigma_2(w_k)=qw_k.$$

Define the functions $\chi_i : G \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ by

$$g(w_i)=\chi_i(g)\ w_i \qquad \text{for all } g\in G,\ i=1,\ldots,k.$$

Define an action of H_q on the generators of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ and on G as follows:
Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{k-2}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{k-2} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then define

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(w_1) &= w_3^{\alpha_1 n}\, w_4^{\alpha_2 n} \cdots w_k^{\alpha_{k-2}\, n}\, \sigma_2, & D_2(w_1) &= 0,\\
D_1(w_2) &= 0, & D_2(w_2) &= w_3^{\beta_1 n+1}\, w_4^{\beta_2 n} \cdots w_k^{\beta_{k-2}\, n}\, \sigma_1\, \sigma_2^{-1},\\
D_1(w_3) &= 0, & D_2(w_3) &= 0,\\
&\vdots & &\vdots\\
D_1(w_k) &= 0, & D_2(w_k) &= 0,\\
D_1(g) &= 0, & D_2(g) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma(w_1) = q w_1, \quad \sigma(w_2) = w_2, \quad \sigma(w_3) = w_3, \quad \cdots \quad \sigma(w_k) = w_k, \quad \sigma(g) = \chi_1(g^{-1})\, g.$$

If we extend the action of H_q to all of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ under the same conditions as before and denote a basis of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ by $\{w_1^{i_1}\ w_2^{i_2} \ldots w_k^{i_k}\ g \mid i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \mathbb{N},\ g \in G\}$, then we obtain the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(w_1^{i_1}\ w_2^{i_2} \ldots w_k^{i_k}\ g) &= (i_1)_q\, q^{i_2+\cdots+i_k}\, \chi_1(g^{-1})\, w_1^{i_1-1}\, w_2^{i_2}\, w_3^{i_3+\alpha_1 n} \ldots w_k^{i_k+\alpha_{k-2}\, n}\, \sigma_2\, g,\\
D_2(w_1^{i_1}\ w_2^{i_2} \ldots w_k^{i_k}\ g) &= (i_2)_{q^{-1}}\, q^{i_1}\, w_1^{i_1}\, w_2^{i_2-1}\, w_3^{i_3+\beta_1 n+1}\, w_4^{i_4+\beta_2 n} \ldots w_k^{i_k+\beta_{k-2}\, n}\, \sigma_1\, \sigma_2^{-1}\, g,\\
\sigma(w_1^{i_1}\ w_2^{i_2} \ldots w_k^{i_k}\ g) &= q^{i_1}\, \chi_1(g^{-1})\, w_1^{i_1}\, w_2^{i_2} \ldots w_k^{i_k}\ g.
\end{aligned}$$

It is possible to show that $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ is an H_q-module algebra in this case as well. Thus, again by (2.3), $m \circ \exp_q(t\, D_1 \otimes D_2)$ gives a deformation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$.

If $q = -1$, then as before, $m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} + t\, D_1 \otimes D_2)$ yields a deformation which, in this case, can be found to be the quotient of $(T(V)\#G)[[t]]$ by an ideal that contains the following relations:

$$\begin{gathered}
w_1 w_2 + w_2 w_1 + t\, (-1)^{(\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_{k-2})n}\, w_3^{(\alpha_1+\beta_1)n+1}\, w_4^{(\alpha_2+\beta_2)n} \cdots w_k^{(\alpha_{k-2}+\beta_{k-2})n}\, \sigma_1, \qquad (3.11)\\
w_1 w_j + w_j w_1 \quad \text{for } j = 3, \ldots, k,\\
w_i w_j - w_j w_i \quad \text{for } i, j = 2, \ldots, k.
\end{gathered}$$

The proof of this statement is analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.5. For the sake of brevity, we skip the details. Notice that in this case we do not obtain a graded deformation in the sense of Braverman and Gaitsgory [2] unless $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{k-2} = \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_{k-2} = 0$.

4. The General Construction

Let us present some generalizations of the results obtained in [12] and [20] to the case of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$. We provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ to have the structure of an H_q-module algebra under some assumptions. As a consequence, by applying (2.3), we obtain more explicit examples of deformations.

4.1. H_q-module Algebra Structures on Arbitrary Algebras.

Let A be a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and let $\sigma, D_1, D_2 : A \to A$ be arbitrary $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps. By abuse of notation, we identify σ, D_1 and D_2 with the generators of H_q by the same name. Then A can be given the structure of an H_q-module via a (necessarily unique) $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $H_q \otimes A \to A$ if and only if the maps σ, D_1 and D_2 satisfy the following conditions:

$$\sigma \text{ is a bijective map,} \qquad (4.1a)$$

$$D_1D_2 = D_2D_1, \tag{4.1b}$$

$$q\sigma D_i = D_i\sigma \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \tag{4.1c}$$

$$\text{if } q \text{ is a primitive } n\text{th root of unity, then } D_1^n = D_2^n = 0. \tag{4.1d}$$

Remark 4.2. To see why conditions (4.1) are necessary and sufficient, notice that if we are given maps σ, D_1 and D_2 as linear operators from A to A, there is only one possible way that we can extend this action to all the elements of H_q. So if such an extension exists, it is unique. The only question then is whether such an action is well-defined. Since we start with actions of σ, D_1 and D_2 on A, we would need to check the relations of H_q, which are precisely conditions (4.1).

Given σ, D_1 and D_2 for which (4.1) holds, the following result determines the conditions that these maps must satisfy so that A becomes an H_q-module algebra via the map $H_q \otimes A \to A$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\sigma, D_1, D_2 : A \to A$ be $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps satisfying (4.1). Then A is an H_q-module algebra via the map $H_q \otimes A \to A$ if and only if*

$$\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)\ \sigma(b) \ \ \textit{for all } a, b \in A, \tag{4.4a}$$

$$D_1(ab) = D_1(a)\ \sigma(b) + a\ D_1(b) \ \ \textit{for all } a, b \in A, \tag{4.4b}$$

$$D_2(ab) = D_2(a)\ b + \sigma(a)\ D_2(b) \ \ \textit{for all } a, b \in A. \tag{4.4c}$$

Proof. See [12, Theorem 2.4] and set α to be the identity map on A. □

4.2. **H_q-module Algebra Structures on Smash Products.** In what follows, $\dim(V) = k \geq 3$. Assume that the action of G on V is diagonal with respect to the basis $\{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$. Then there exist maps $\chi_i : G \to \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that

$$g(w_i) = \chi_i(g)\ w_i \quad \text{for all } g \in G,\ i = 1, \ldots, k.$$

Extend the action of G on V to $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ by algebra automorphisms.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\sigma, D_1, D_2 : V \oplus \mathbf{k}G \to S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ be $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps. Suppose there exists a group homomorphism $\xi : G \to \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that*

$$\sigma(g) = \xi(g)\ g \quad \textit{for all } g \in G.$$

Then σ, D_1, D_2 extend to give $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ the structure of an H_q-module algebra if and only if for all $g \in G$, $\ell = 1, 2$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, k$, the following conditions hold:

$$\sigma : V \to V \ \textit{ is a bijective } \mathbf{k}G\textit{-linear map}, \tag{4.6a}$$

$$D_1D_2(w_i) = D_2D_1(w_i), \tag{4.6b}$$

$$D_1D_2(g) = D_2D_1(g), \tag{4.6c}$$

$$q\sigma D_\ell(w_i) = D_\ell\sigma(w_i), \tag{4.6d}$$

$$q\sigma D_\ell(g) = \xi(g)\ D_\ell(g), \tag{4.6e}$$

$$\textit{if } q \textit{ is a primitive } n\textit{th root of unity, then } D_1^n = D_2^n = 0, \tag{4.6f}$$

$$D_\ell(w_iw_j) = D_\ell(q_{ij}w_jw_i), \tag{4.6g}$$

$$\sigma(w_iw_j) = \sigma(q_{ij}w_jw_i), \tag{4.6h}$$

$$D_\ell(g(w_i)g) = D_\ell(gw_i). \tag{4.6i}$$

Proof. Notice that $V \oplus \mathbf{k}G$ embeds into $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, so in order to apply Theorem 4.3, first we need to extend the maps σ, D_1 and D_2 from $V \oplus \mathbf{k}G$ to all of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ by requiring conditions (4.4) to hold. In order to obtain well-defined $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps from $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ to $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, we need to check that the relations of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ are satisfied by the generators of H_q. These are precisely conditions (4.6g), (4.6h) and (4.6i). Notice that σ automatically preserves the relation $g(w_i)g = gw_i$ since by assumption,

$$g(w_i) = \chi_i(g)\ w_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(g) = \xi(g)\ g \quad \text{ for all } g \in G,\ i = 1, \ldots, k,$$

and by (4.6a), $\sigma : V \to V$ commutes with the action of G, that is

$$\sigma(g(w_i)) = g(\sigma(w_i)) \quad \text{ for all } g \in G,\ i = 1, \ldots, k.$$

Next, we need to make sure that the relations of H_q hold, that is items (4.1). Notice that (4.1a) is equivalent to (4.6a) since σ is bijective on $\mathbf{k}G$ by its definition. Moreover, (4.1d) is precisely (4.6f). Since it is enough to show that the relations of H_q are satisfied by the generators of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, it follows that (4.1b) is equivalent to (4.6b) and (4.6c), and (4.1c) is equivalent to (4.6d) and (4.6e). ☐

Remark 4.7. This result was obtained for the case of $S(V)\#G$ in [12]. Thus, Theorem 4.5 is a generalization of [12, Theorem 3.5] to $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ with α being the identity map on $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, s the twist map, $\chi_\alpha(g) = 1$ and $\chi_\varsigma(g) = \chi_1(g^{-1})$ for all $g \in G$.

4.3. **A Special Case of H_q-module Algebra Structures on Smash Products.** The following result makes it easier to come up with more explicit examples of deformations of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$. The setting is as in Subsection 4.2.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\sigma, D_1, D_2 : V \oplus \mathbf{k}G \to S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ be $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps. Assume that the action of σ on V is diagonal with respect to the basis $\{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$. Let $\lambda_i(\sigma) \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ be defined by*

$$\sigma(w_i) = \lambda_i(\sigma)\ w_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, k.$$

Suppose there exists a group homomorphism $\xi : G \to \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that

$$\sigma(g) = \xi(g)\ g \ \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Choose $P_1, P_2 \in S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ such that there are scalars q_{P_i,w_j} satisfying the following equation:

$$P_i\ w_j = q_{P_i,w_j}\ w_j\ P_i \ \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Assume that

$$D_1(w_1) = P_1\ g_1, \quad D_1(w_i) = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \neq 1, \quad D_1(g) = 0 \ \text{ for all } g \in G,$$
$$D_2(w_2) = P_2\ g_2, \quad D_2(w_i) = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \neq 2, \quad D_2(g) = 0 \ \text{ for all } g \in G,$$

with $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then there is an H_q-module algebra structure on $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, for which σ, D_1, D_2 act as the above chosen maps, if and only if

$$q_{P_1,w_i} = q_{1i}\ \lambda_i^{-1}(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_1^{-1}) \ \text{ for all } i \neq 1, \tag{4.9a}$$
$$q_{P_2,w_i} = q_{2i}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_2^{-1}) \ \text{ for all } i \neq 2, \tag{4.9b}$$
$$g_1 \text{ and } g_2 \text{ belong to the center of } G, \tag{4.9c}$$
$$g(P_1) = \chi_1(g)\ \xi(g)\ P_1 \text{ for all } g \in G, \tag{4.9d}$$
$$g(P_2) = \chi_2(g)\ \xi(g^{-1})\ P_2 \text{ for all } g \in G, \tag{4.9e}$$
$$P_1 \in \ker(D_2) \text{ and } P_2 \in \ker(D_1), \tag{4.9f}$$
$$\sigma(P_i) = q^{-1}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \xi(g_i^{-1})\ P_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \tag{4.9g}$$

$$\text{if } q \text{ is a primitive } n\text{th root of unity, then } D_1^n = D_2^n = 0. \tag{4.9h}$$

Proof. Notice that condition (4.9h) is exactly (4.6f). Since $\sigma(w_i) = \lambda_i(\sigma) w_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$, where $\lambda_i(\sigma) \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, it follows that $\sigma : V \to V$ is bijective. Since, in addition, $g(w_i) = \chi_i(g) w_i$ for all $g \in G,\ i = 1, \ldots, k$, we have that $\sigma : V \to V$ is a $\mathbf{k}G$-linear map. Thus, condition (4.6a) is satisfied. Also note that condition (4.6h) is satisfied by the assumption that $\sigma(w_i) = \lambda_i(\sigma) w_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Since by assumption $D_1(g) = D_2(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, conditions (4.6c) and (4.6e) hold.

We claim that conditions (4.9a) and (4.9b) are equivalent to (4.6g). For $i \neq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(w_1\ w_i) &= D_1(w_1)\ \sigma(w_i) + w_1\ D_1(w_i) = D_1(w_1)\ \sigma(w_i) = P_1\ g_1\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ w_i \\ &= \lambda_i(\sigma)\ P_1\ g_1(w_i)\ g_1 = \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_1)\ P_1\ w_i\ g_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(q_{1i}\ w_i\ w_1) &= q_{1i}\ D_1(w_i)\ \sigma(w_1) + q_{1i}\ w_i\ D_1(w_1) = q_{1i}\ w_i\ D_1(w_1) \\ &= q_{1i}\ w_i\ P_1\ g_1 = q_{1i}\ q_{P_1,w_i}^{-1}\ P_1\ w_i\ g_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_1(w_1\ w_i) = D_1(q_{1i}\ w_i\ w_1)$ is equivalent to

$$q_{P_1,w_i} = q_{1i}\ \lambda_i^{-1}(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_1^{-1}) \ \text{ for all } i \neq 1.$$

Similarly, for $i \neq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(w_2\ w_i) &= D_2(w_2)\ w_i + \sigma(w_2)\ D_2(w_i) = D_2(w_2)\ w_i = P_2\ g_2\ w_i \\ &= P_2\ g_2(w_i)\ g_2 = \chi_i(g_2)\ P_2\ w_i\ g_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(q_{2i}\ w_i\ w_2) &= q_{2i}\ D_2(w_i)\ w_2 + q_{2i}\ \sigma(w_i)\ D_2(w_2) = q_{2i}\ \sigma(w_i)\ D_2(w_2) \\ &= q_{2i}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ w_i\ P_2\ g_2 = q_{2i}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ q_{P_2,w_i}^{-1}\ P_2\ w_i\ g_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_2(w_2\ w_i) = D_2(q_{2i}\ w_i\ w_2)$ is equivalent to

$$q_{P_2,w_i} = q_{2i}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_2^{-1}) \ \text{ for all } i \neq 2.$$

We claim that conditions (4.9c), (4.9d) and (4.9e) are equivalent to (4.6i). Since $D_1(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, we have

$$D_1(g(w_i)\ g) = D_1(g(w_i))\ \sigma(g) + g(w_i)\ D_1(g) = D_1(g(w_i))\ \sigma(g) = \chi_i(g)\ \xi(g)\ D_1(w_i)\ g$$

and

$$D_1(g\ w_i) = D_1(g)\ \sigma(w_i) + g\ D_1(w_i) = g\ D_1(w_i).$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$\chi_i(g)\ \xi(g)\ D_1(w_i)\ g = g\ D_1(w_i)$$

holds for all $g \in G,\ i = 1, \ldots, k$, if and only if conditions (4.9c) and (4.9d) are satisfied.
There are two possible cases, namely $i = 1$ or $i \neq 1$. If $i = 1$, then

$$\chi_1(g)\ \xi(g)\ D_1(w_1)\ g = g\ D_1(w_1)$$

simplifies to

$$\chi_1(g)\ \xi(g)\ P_1\ g_1\ g = g\ P_1\ g_1.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\chi_1(g)\ \xi(g)\ P_1\ g_1\ g = g(P_1)\ g\ g_1,$$

which holds if and only if g_1 belongs to the center of G and $g(P_1) = \chi_1(g)\ \xi(g)\ P_1$ for all $g \in G$. If $i \neq 1$, then $D_1(w_i) = 0$ so both sides of the equation are equal to zero.

Similarly, since $D_2(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, we have

$$D_2(g(w_i)\ g) = D_2(g(w_i))\ g + \sigma(g(w_i))\ D_2(g) = D_2(g(w_i))\ g = \chi_i(g)\ D_2(w_i)\ g$$

and

$$D_2(g\ w_i) = D_2(g)\ w_i + \sigma(g)\ D_2(w_i) = \sigma(g)\ D_2(w_i) = \xi(g)\ g\ D_2(w_i).$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$\chi_i(g)\ D_2(w_i)\ g = \xi(g)\ g\ D_2(w_i)$$

holds for all $g \in G,\ i = 1, \ldots, k$, if and only if conditions (4.9c) and (4.9e) are satisfied. Again, there are two possible cases, namely $i = 2$ or $i \neq 2$. If $i = 2$, then

$$\chi_2(g)\ D_2(w_2)\ g = \xi(g)\ g\ D_2(w_2)$$

simplifies to

$$\chi_2(g)\ P_2\ g_2\ g = \xi(g)\ g\ P_2\ g_2.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\xi(g^{-1})\ \chi_2(g)\ P_2\ g_2\ g = g(P_2)\ g\ g_2,$$

which holds if and only if g_2 belongs to the center of G and $g(P_2) = \xi(g^{-1})\ \chi_2(g)\ P_2$ for all $g \in G$. If $i \neq 2$, then $D_2(w_i) = 0$ so both sides of the equation are equal to zero.

We claim that condition (4.9f) is equivalent to (4.6b). To see this, notice that $D_1D_2(w_i) = D_2D_1(w_i)$ is trivially satisfied for $w_i \in \ker(D_1) \cap \ker(D_2)$. Since $D_1D_2(w_1) = 0$ and

$$D_2D_1(w_1) = D_2(P_1\ g_1) = D_2(P_1)\ g_1 + \sigma(P_1)\ D_2(g_1) = D_2(P_1)\ g_1,$$

we have that $D_1D_2(w_1) = D_2D_1(w_1)$ holds if and only if $P_1 \in \ker(D_2)$. Similarly, since $D_2D_1(w_2) = 0$ and

$$D_1D_2(w_2) = D_1(P_2\ g_2) = D_1(P_2)\ \sigma(g_2) + P_2\ D_1(g_2) = D_1(P_2)\ \sigma(g_2),$$

we have that $D_1D_2(w_2) = D_2D_1(w_2)$ holds if and only if $P_2 \in \ker(D_1)$.

We claim that condition (4.9g) is equivalent to (4.6d). To see this, consider the following: For $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$D_i\sigma(w_i) = D_i(\lambda_i(\sigma)\ w_i) = \lambda_i(\sigma)\ D_i(w_i) = \lambda_i(\sigma)\ P_i\ g_i$$

and

$$q\sigma D_i(w_i) = q\ \sigma(P_i\ g_i) = q\ \sigma(P_i)\ \sigma(g_i) = q\ \xi(g_i)\ \sigma(P_i)\ g_i.$$

Therefore, $D_i\sigma(w_i) = q\sigma D_i(w_i)$ holds if and only if $\sigma(P_i) = q^{-1}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \xi(g_i^{-1})\ P_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. On the other hand, for $i \neq 1, 2$, we have $D_1(w_i) = 0 = D_2(w_i)$ so both sides of the equation

$$D_j\sigma(w_i) = q\sigma D_j(w_i) \text{ for } j = 1, 2,\ i = 1, \ldots, k,$$

are zero. □

Remark 4.10. The idea behind this result comes from [12], which deals with the case of $S(V)\#G$. Thus, Theorem 4.9 is a generalization of [12, Theorem 3.6] to $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ with $f(g, h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in G$, $\lambda_{1g} = \chi_1(g)$, $\lambda_{2g} = \chi_2(g)$ for all $g \in G$, $\nu_1 = 1$, and $\nu_2 = 1$, but with new assumptions on σ, P_1 and P_2.

Remark 4.11. If we set

$$k=3,\ P_1=1,\ P_2=w_3,\ g_1=\sigma_2,\ g_2=\sigma_1\ \sigma_2^{-1},$$
$$\lambda_1(\sigma)=q,\ \lambda_2(\sigma)=\lambda_3(\sigma)=1,$$
$$q_{P_1,w_2}=q_{P_1,w_3}=q_{P_2,w_3}=1,\ q_{P_2,w_1}=q^{-1},$$

then we can see that we can apply Theorem 4.8 to the motivational example presented in Section 2.3. We may also apply Theorem 4.8 to the generalization of the motivational example constructed in Remark 3.10 if we set

$$P_1=w_3^{\alpha_1 n}\ w_4^{\alpha_2 n}\cdots w_k^{\alpha_{k-2} n},\ P_2=w_3^{\beta_1 n+1}\ w_4^{\beta_2 n}\cdots w_k^{\beta_{k-2} n},\ g_1=\sigma_2,\ g_2=\sigma_1\ \sigma_2^{-1},$$
$$\lambda_1(\sigma)=q,\ \lambda_i(\sigma)=1\ \text{ for } i=2,\dots,k,$$
$$q_{P_1,w_i}=1\ \text{ for } i=2,\dots,k,$$
$$q_{P_2,w_1}=q^{-(\beta_1 n+1+\beta_2 n+\cdots+\beta_{k-2} n)},\ q_{P_2,w_i}=1\ \text{ for } i=3,\dots,k.$$

Recall the multiplication in the deformed algebra given in Definition 2.1. If, in the setting of Theorem 4.8, we want to find, for instance, an expression for the map μ_1, then we can proceed as follows: By (2.3), we have that $m\circ\exp_q(t\ D_1\otimes D_2)$ gives a deformation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, where m is the multiplication in $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$. As a consequence,

$$\mu_1=m\circ(D_1\otimes D_2). \tag{4.12}$$

Remark 4.13. The assumptions made in Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.8 are mainly for simplifying purposes. We believe that it might be possible to prove these same results in a more general setting. This is a subject for future research.

5. Nontriviality of the Deformations

Once we have found a formal deformation of an algebra, it may turn out that this deformation is trivial, in the sense that it is isomorphic to the formal power series ring with coefficients in the original algebra. To verify that we have indeed obtained a new object, we must prove that such an isomorphism does not exist, which may be difficult to do directly. Using Hochschild cohomology makes this easier to show.

The main goal of this section is to prove that the deformations of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$ obtained in Section 4 are not isomorphic to $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G)[[t]]$. We begin by briefly describing the connection between algebraic deformation theory and Hochschild cohomology. Then we give the precise characterization of the infinitesimal of the deformations that result from Theorem 4.8 and (2.3). As we will see, this characterization suffices to prove the nontriviality of the resulting deformations.

5.1. Deformations and Hochschild Cohomology.

The deformations of any algebra are intimately related to its Hochschild cohomology. According to [10], Gerstenhaber's works [7] and [8] marked the beginning of the study of the connection between Hochschild cohomology and algebraic deformation theory. He showed that for an algebra A, the space $\mathrm{HH}^i(A)$ with $i\leq 3$ has a natural interpretation related to the maps μ_i and the obstructions to the existence of the maps μ_i. In particular, the map μ_1 is a Hochschild 2-cocycle and the obstructions to the existence of the maps μ_i for $i\geq 2$ are Hochschild 3-cocycles.

The relation between (quantum) Drinfeld Hecke algebras and Hochschild cohomology is discussed in [18] and [17]. Roughly speaking, quantum Drinfeld Hecke algebras are generalizations of Drinfeld Hecke algebras in which polynomial rings are replaced by quantum polynomial rings (see [17] and [14] for a precise definition).

As shown in [10, Section 4], if we can prove that the Hochschild cocycle μ_1 represents a nonzero element in the Hochschild cohomology ring, i.e. it is not a coboundary, then this automatically implies that the deformation is nontrivial.

5.2. **The Precise Characterization of the Infinitesimal.** For the rest of this section, we will work in the setting of Subsection 4.3. We will assume that all the conditions necessary for Theorem 4.8 to hold are fulfilled. We will also assume that the group G is finite and that P_1 and P_2 are elements of the subalgebra of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ generated by $\{w_3, \dots, w_k\}$. Notice that the examples presented in Section 3 satisfy these assumptions.

Recall that at the end of Section 4, we found μ_1 in the setting of Theorem 4.8 (see (4.12)). In this section, we will give a direct verification that in this case μ_1 is indeed a Hochschild 2-cocycle by identifying which Hochschild 2-cocycle μ_1 is in relation to a known calculation of the Hochschild cohomology ring of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$. Finally, we will prove that this Hochschild 2-cocycle is nonzero in the Hochschild cohomology ring. As a consequence, the deformations found using Theorem 4.8 and (2.3) are nontrivial.

As explained in Remark 3.3, D_1 is a $\sigma, 1$-skew derivation, and D_2 is a $1, \sigma$-skew derivation of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$. Thus, D_1 and D_2 are Hochschild 1-cocycles. From this it can be directly derived that the infinitesimal μ_1, defined in (4.12), is a Hochschild 2-cocycle for $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G$, that is μ_1 satisfies

$$a\ \mu_1(b, c) + \mu_1(a, bc) = \mu_1(ab, c) + \mu_1(a, b)\ c \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G.$$

The following Hochschild cohomology was computed in [16]:

$$\mathrm{HH}^2(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V), S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G) \cong \bigoplus_{g\in G} \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in\{0,1\}^k \\ |\beta|=2}} \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^k \\ \alpha-\beta\in C_g}} \operatorname{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{(w^{\alpha}\#g)\otimes(w^*)^{\wedge\beta}\} \tag{5.1}$$

as a subspace of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G \otimes \bigwedge_{\mathbf{q}^{-1}}(V^*)$, where C_g is defined to be

$$C_g = \left\{ \gamma \in (\mathbb{N}\cup\{-1\})^k \;\middle|\; \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, k,\ \prod_{j=1}^{k} q_{ij}^{\gamma_j} = \chi_i(g) \ \text{ or } \ \gamma_i = -1 \right\} \tag{5.2}$$

for $g \in G$. The following result shows that $P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle. Later, in Proposition 5.6, we will show that in fact $P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ may be identified with our Hochschild 2-cocycle μ_1 defined in (4.12).

Proposition 5.3. *The element* $P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ *is a representative of an element of* $\mathrm{HH}^2(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G)$.

Proof. By [16, Theorem 4.7], it suffices to show that $P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ is a representative of an element of $\mathrm{HH}^2(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V), S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G)$ and is invariant under the action of G. For the first part, consider the following simple calculation: By (4.9e), we have that

$$P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 = (P_1\#g_1)\ (P_2\#g_2) = P_1\ g_1(P_2)\,\#\,g_1\ g_2 = \xi(g_1^{-1})\ \chi_2(g_1)\ P_1\ P_2\,\#\,g_1\ g_2.$$

Thus, set $g = g_1\ g_2$ in (5.2). The elements P_1 and P_2 are linear combinations of monomials of the form $w_1^{\rho_1}\ w_2^{\rho_2} \cdots w_k^{\rho_k}$ and $w_1^{\delta_1}\ w_2^{\delta_2} \cdots w_k^{\delta_k}$, respectively, for some $\rho_\ell, \delta_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, k$. However, since any calculations can be done term-by-term, it suffices to work with just the monomials. Thus, we have

$$P_1\ P_2 = w_1^{\rho_1} \cdots w_k^{\rho_k}\ w_1^{\delta_1} \cdots w_k^{\delta_k}.$$

Set $\alpha = (\rho_1 + \delta_1, \dots, \rho_k + \delta_k)$ and $\beta = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (5.1). To show that

$$P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^* \in \mathrm{HH}^2(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V), S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G),$$

we need to prove that $\alpha - \beta \in C_g$ with $g = g_1\ g_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha - \beta &= (\rho_1 + \delta_1, \dots, \rho_k + \delta_k) - (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)\\ &= (\rho_1 + \delta_1 - 1, \rho_2 + \delta_2 - 1, \rho_3 + \delta_3, \dots, \rho_k + \delta_k).\end{aligned}$$

That is, we want to show that for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, the following holds:

$$q_{i1}^{\rho_1+\delta_1-1}\ q_{i2}^{\rho_2+\delta_2-1}\ q_{i3}^{\rho_3+\delta_3} \cdots q_{ik}^{\rho_k+\delta_k} = \chi_i(g_1)\ \chi_i(g_2) \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_i = -1.$$

Notice that when $i = 1, 2$, $\rho_i = \delta_i = 0$, and therefore, $\gamma_i = -1$. Otherwise, consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}P_1\ P_2\ w_i &= w_1^{\rho_1} \cdots w_k^{\rho_k}\ w_1^{\delta_1} \cdots w_k^{\delta_k}\ w_i\\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^k q_{ji}^{\delta_j}\right) w_1^{\rho_1} \cdots w_k^{\rho_k}\ w_i\ w_1^{\delta_1} \cdots w_k^{\delta_k}\\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^k q_{ji}^{\rho_j}\right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k q_{ji}^{\delta_j}\right) w_i\ w_1^{\rho_1} \cdots w_k^{\rho_k}\ w_1^{\delta_1} \cdots w_k^{\delta_k}\\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^k q_{ji}^{\rho_j+\delta_j}\right) w_i\ P_1\ P_2.\end{aligned}$$

By (4.9a) and (4.9b), we also have that for $i \neq 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}P_1\ P_2\ w_i = P_1\ q_{P_2, w_i}\ w_i\ P_2 &= q_{2i}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_2^{-1})\ P_1\ w_i\ P_2\\ &= q_{2i}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_2^{-1})\ q_{P_1, w_i}\ w_i\ P_1\ P_2\\ &= q_{2i}\ \lambda_i(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_2^{-1})\ q_{1i}\ \lambda_i^{-1}(\sigma)\ \chi_i(g_1^{-1})\ w_i\ P_1\ P_2\\ &= q_{1i}\ q_{2i}\ \chi_i(g_1^{-1})\ \chi_i(g_2^{-1})\ w_i\ P_1\ P_2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_{j=1}^k q_{ji}^{\rho_j+\delta_j} = q_{1i}\ q_{2i}\ \chi_i(g_1^{-1})\ \chi_i(g_2^{-1}).$$

Or equivalently,

$$q_{i1}^{-1}\ q_{i2}^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k q_{ij}^{\rho_j+\delta_j}\right) = \chi_i(g_1)\ \chi_i(g_2) \quad \text{for } i \neq 1, 2,$$

which is exactly what we wanted to show.

For the second part, to prove that $P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ is invariant under the action of G, consider the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}g(P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*) &= g(P_1)\ g_1\ g(P_2)\ g_2 \otimes g(w_1^*) \wedge g(w_2^*)\\ &= \chi_1(g)\ \xi(g)\ P_1\ g_1\ \chi_2(g)\ \xi(g^{-1})\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1}(g)\ w_1^* \wedge \chi_2^{-1}(g)\ w_2^*\\ &= P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*\end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G$, where we used (4.9d), (4.9e) and $g(w_i^*) = \chi_i^{-1}(g)\ w_i^*$. □

Let us recall the bar resolution of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$, which we will need in what follows. Let $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e = S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \otimes (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\text{op}}$, where $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\text{op}}$ denotes the opposite algebra of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ as in [15]. For each $g \in G$, we denote by $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))_g$ the set $\{cg \mid c \in S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\}$. Notice that $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))_g$ is a left $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e$-module via the action

$$(a \otimes b)(cg) = ac\, g(b)\, g \quad \text{ for all } a, b, c \in S_{\mathbf{q}}(V),\ g \in G.$$

The following is a free $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e$-resolution of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\otimes 4} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e \xrightarrow{m} S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\delta_i(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^j a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_j a_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+1}.$$

Next, let us introduce the quantum Koszul resolution of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$, which is again a free $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e$-resolution of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e \otimes \textstyle\bigwedge_{\mathbf{q}}^2(V) \xrightarrow{d_2} (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e \otimes \bigwedge_{\mathbf{q}}^1(V) \xrightarrow{d_1} (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e \xrightarrow{m} S_{\mathbf{q}}(V) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$d_m(1^{\otimes 2} \otimes w_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{j_m}) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^{i+1} \left[\left(\prod_{s=1}^{i} q_{j_s, j_i} \right) w_{j_i} \otimes 1 - \left(\prod_{s=i}^{m} q_{j_i, j_s} \right) \otimes w_{j_i} \right] \otimes w_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{j_{i-1}} \wedge w_{j_{i+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{j_m}$$

whenever $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_m \leq k$. We refer the reader to [19] for more details on this construction.

In [17], chain maps Ψ_i are introduced between the bar resolution and the quantum Koszul resolution of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$. In particular, we have the map

$$\Psi_2 : (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\otimes 4} \longrightarrow (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^e \otimes \textstyle\bigwedge_{\mathbf{q}}^2(V)$$

such that

$$\Psi_2(1 \otimes w_i \otimes w_j \otimes 1) = \begin{cases} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes w_i \wedge w_j, & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq k, \\ 0, & \text{for } i \geq j. \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

We will also need the following two maps:

$$R_2 : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left((S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\otimes 2}, S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left((S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\otimes 2}, S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G\right)^G$$

$$R_2(\gamma) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(\gamma)$$

and

$$\theta_2^* : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left((S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\otimes 2}, S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G\right)^G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left((S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G)^{\otimes 2}, S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G\right)$$

$$\theta_2^*(\gamma)(ag \otimes bh) = \gamma(a \otimes g(b))\, gh.$$

As discussed in [17], since Ψ_2 may not preserve the action of G, the map R_2 ensures G-invariance of the image. The map θ_2^* extends a function defined on $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))^{\otimes 2}$ to a function defined on $(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G)^{\otimes 2}$.

By [17, Theorem 3.5], the composition $\theta_2^* \; R_2 \; \Psi_2^*$ induces an isomorphism

$$\left(\bigoplus_{g\in G} \mathrm{HH}^2(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V), (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V))_g)\right)^G \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH}^2(S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G).$$

As a consequence, we get that if $\kappa \in (S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)\#G) \otimes \bigwedge^2_{\mathbf{q}^{-1}}(V^*)$, then

$$[\theta_2^* R_2 \Psi_2^*(\kappa)](w_i \otimes w_j) = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g(\kappa(\Psi_2(1\otimes g^{-1}(w_i)\otimes g^{-1}(w_j)\otimes 1))) \text{ for } i<j. \tag{5.5}$$

The following proposition is an explicit description of μ_1. The idea of the proof is that we will apply μ_1 and $P_1 \; g_1 \; P_2 \; g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ to $w_i \otimes w_j$ and show that we obtain the same result.

Proposition 5.6. *The map μ_1 can be identified with $P_1 \; g_1 \; P_2 \; g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$.*

Proof. Set $\kappa = P_1g_1P_2g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ in (5.5). Then we have that

$$[\theta_2^* R_2 \Psi_2^*(P_1g_1P_2g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*)](w_i\otimes w_j) =$$

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g\left(P_1g_1P_2g_2(w_1^*\wedge w_2^*)\left(\Psi_2\left(1\otimes g^{-1}(w_i)\otimes g^{-1}(w_j)\otimes 1\right)\right)\right).$$

By (5.4), we may simplify this expression as follows:

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g\left(P_1g_1P_2g_2(w_1^*\wedge w_2^*)\left(1\otimes 1\otimes g^{-1}(w_i)\wedge g^{-1}(w_j)\right)\right).$$

Since $g^{-1}(w_i) = \chi_i^{-1}(g)\; w_i$ and applying (4.9e), this becomes

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} \xi(g_1^{-1})\;\chi_2(g_1)\; g\left(P_1P_2\#g_1g_2(w_1^*\wedge w_2^*)\left(1\otimes 1\otimes \chi_i^{-1}(g)\; w_i\wedge \chi_j^{-1}(g)\; w_j\right)\right).$$

By linearity, we get

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\;\xi(g_1^{-1})\;\chi_2(g_1)\sum_{g\in G}\chi_i^{-1}(g)\;\chi_j^{-1}(g)\; g\left(P_1P_2\#g_1g_2(w_1^*\wedge w_2^*)\left(1\otimes 1\otimes w_i\wedge w_j\right)\right).$$

If we assume that $i<j$, then

$$(w_1^*\wedge w_2^*)(w_i\wedge w_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i=1 \text{ and } j=2,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Thus, letting $i=1$ and $j=2$, the above expression becomes

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\;\xi(g_1^{-1})\;\chi_2(g_1)\sum_{g\in G}\chi_1^{-1}(g)\;\chi_2^{-1}(g)\; g(P_1P_2\#g_1g_2) =$$

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\;\xi(g_1^{-1})\;\chi_2(g_1)\sum_{g\in G}\chi_1^{-1}(g)\;\chi_2^{-1}(g)\; g(P_1)\; g(P_2)\#g_1g_2 =$$

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\;\xi(g_1^{-1})\;\chi_2(g_1)\sum_{g\in G}\chi_1^{-1}(g)\;\chi_2^{-1}(g)\;\chi_1(g)\;\xi(g)\;P_1\;\chi_2(g)\;\xi(g^{-1})\;P_2\#g_1g_2$$

by (4.9d) and (4.9e). Simplifying, we obtain

$$\xi(g_1^{-1})\;\chi_2(g_1)\;P_1\;P_2\#g_1g_2 = P_1\;g_1\;P_2\;g_2.$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$[\theta_2^* R_2 \Psi_2^*(P_1 g_1 P_2 g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*)](w_i \otimes w_j) = \begin{cases} P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2, & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, since $\mu_1 = m \circ (D_1 \otimes D_2)$, we have that

$$\mu_1(w_i \otimes w_j) = D_1(w_i)\ D_2(w_j) = \begin{cases} P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2, & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, μ_1 can be identified with $P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$. □

Since P_1, g_1, P_2, g_2, w_1 and w_2 are nonzero, we know that $P_1\ g_1\ P_2\ g_2 \otimes w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ is a nonzero Hochschild 2-cocycle. Therefore, we have obtained the following:

Theorem 5.7. *When G is finite and P_1 and P_2 are elements of the subalgebra of $S_{\mathbf{q}}(V)$ generated by $\{w_3, \ldots, w_k\}$, all the deformations that result from Theorem 4.8 and* (2.3) *are nontrivial.*

Acknowledgement. Most of the results in this work are part of my doctoral dissertation. I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Sarah Witherspoon, for all her guidance, instruction and support.

References

[1] Y. Bazlov and A. Berenstein. Noncommutative Dunkl operators and braided Cherednik algebras. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 14(3-4):325–372, 2009.

[2] A. Braverman and D. Gaitsgory. Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for quadratic algebras of Koszul type. *J. Algebra*, 181(2):315–328, 1996.

[3] I. Cherednik. Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald's conjectures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 141(1):191–216, 1995.

[4] V. G. Drinfel′d. Degenerate affine Hecke algebras and Yangians. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 20(1):69–70, 1986.

[5] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism. *Invent. Math.*, 147(2):243–348, 2002.

[6] A. Frölicher and A. Nijenhuis. A theorem on stability of complex structures. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 43:239–241, 1957.

[7] M. Gerstenhaber. The cohomology structure of an associative ring. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 78:267–288, 1963.

[8] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformation of rings and algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 79:59–103, 1964.

[9] M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack. Algebraic cohomology and deformation theory. In *Deformation Theory of Algebras and Structures and Applications*, volume 247 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 11–264. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.

[10] A. Giaquinto. Topics in algebraic deformation theory. In *Higher Structures in Geometry and Physics*, volume 287 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–24. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2011.

[11] A. Giaquinto and J. J. Zhang. Bialgebra actions, twists, and universal deformation formulas. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 128(2):133–151, 1998.

[12] J. A. Guccione, J. J. Guccione, and C. Valqui. Universal deformation formulas and braided module algebras. *J. Algebra*, 330:263–297, 2011.

[13] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer. On deformations of complex analytic structures. I, II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:328–466, 1958.

[14] V. Levandovskyy and A. V. Shepler. Quantum Drinfeld Hecke algebras. arXiv:math/1111.4975.

[15] S. Montgomery. *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, volume 82 of *CBMS Conf. Math. Publ.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993.

[16] D. Naidu, P. Shroff, and S. Witherspoon. Hochschild cohomology of group extensions of quantum symmetric algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(5):1553–1567, 2011.

[17] D. Naidu and S. Witherspoon. Hochschild cohomology and quantum Drinfeld Hecke algebras. arXiv:math/1111.5243.

[18] A. V. Shepler and S. Witherspoon. Hochschild cohomology and graded Hecke algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(8):3975–4005, 2008.
[19] M. Wambst. Complexes de Koszul quantiques. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 43(4):1089–1156, 1993.
[20] S. Witherspoon. Skew derivations and deformations of a family of group crossed products. *Comm. Algebra*, 34(11):4187–4206, 2006.

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77840
E-mail address: `jshakall@gmail.com`